|  | Application N . | Applicant(s)   |
|--|-----------------|----------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 09/668,154      | GAUTVIK ET AL. |
|  | Examiner        | Art Unit       |
|  | Myron G. Hill   | 1648           |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>  |                 |                |
| (1) Myron G. Hill.   | (3)             |                |
| (2) Melanie Brookswiess (for M Simkin).  | (4)             |                |
| Date of Interview: 31 October 2003   | Time:           |                |
| Type of Interview:   |                 |                |
| Part I.  |                 |                |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |                 |                |
| Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:  |                 |                |
| Part II.   |                 |                |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Office thanks MB for providing information on the board decision in particular claims 33- 35 in which the rejections were vacated   |                 |                |
| Part III.  |                 |                |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                 |                |
|  |                 |                |
|  |                 |                |
|  |                 |                |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)   |                 |                |